

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 2:25-cr-00051-JAM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	<u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
)	
ZURYESS ANTHONY ROBERTS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 2:25-cr-00052-JAM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
DIVAYA JAMES TALLEY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 2:25-cr-00054-JAM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
TAEZON LAURECE SANDERSON,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 2:25-cr-00053-DJC
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
ANDERSON PURNELL THURSTON,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D.

1 Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the
2 same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial
3 effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
5 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
6 assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is
7 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related
8 cases are generally assigned to the judge to whom the first filed
9 action was assigned.

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated
11 2:25-cr-00053-DJC be reassigned to Senior District Judge John A.
12 Mendez for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set
13 in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the
14 caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown
15 as 2:25-cr-00053-JAM.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
17 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to
18 compensate for this reassignment.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20
21 Dated: March 11, 2025

/s/ John A. Mendez

22 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ

23 SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28